

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

INSOMNIAC HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

CONSCIOUS ENTERTAINMENT GROUP,
LLC d/b/a USC Events, a Washington limited
liability company, and CHAD ANDERSON, an
individual,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-00137 RSL

**AGREEMENT REGARDING
DISCOVERY OF
ELECTRONICALLY STORED
INFORMATION AND
ORDER**

The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”) in this matter:

A. General Principles

1. An attorney’s zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and contributes to the risk of sanctions.

2. As provided in LCR 26(f), the proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

B. ESI Disclosures

Within 30 days of entry of this Order, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties, each party shall disclose:

1. Custodians. The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under the custodian's control.

2. Non-custodial Data Sources. A list of non-custodial data sources (*e.g.*, shared drives, servers), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

3. Third-Party Data Sources. A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (*e.g.*, third-party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud storage) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.

4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).

5. Foreign data privacy laws. Nothing in this Order is intended to prevent either party from complying with the requirements of a foreign country's data privacy laws, *e.g.*, the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (EU) 2016/679. The parties

1 agree to meet and confer before including custodians or data sources subject to such laws in any
2 ESI or other discovery request.

3 **C. ESI Discovery Procedures**

4 1. On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be required
5 absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement
6 of the parties.

7 2. Search methodology. The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach
8 agreement on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources
9 (including custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies,
10 before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the
11 appropriateness of the search methodology.

12 a. Prior to running searches:

13 i. The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including
14 custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other
15 methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable
16 information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.

17 ii. The requesting party is entitled to, within 14 days of the
18 producing party's disclosure, add no more than 10 search terms or queries to those disclosed by
19 the producing party absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties.

20 iii. The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the
21 requesting party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, such
22 as product and company names, generally should be avoided. A conjunctive combination of
23 multiple words or phrases (*e.g.*, "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count

as a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (*e.g.*, “computer” or “system”) broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search term unless they are variants of the same word. The producing party may identify each search term or query returning overbroad results demonstrating the overbroad results and a counter proposal correcting the overbroad search or query. A search that returns more than 250 megabytes of data, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, audio files, and similarly large file types is presumed to be overbroad.

b. After production: Within 21 days of the producing party notifying the receiving party that it has substantially completed the production of documents responsive to a request, the responding party may request no more than 10 additional search terms or queries. The immediately preceding section (Section C(2)(a)(iii)) applies.

3. Format.

a. ESI will be produced to the requesting party with searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text file), single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata fields identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted text files), and searchable PDF.

b. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database, and drawing files, will be produced in native format.

c. Each document image file shall be named with a unique number (Bates Number). File names should not be more than twenty characters long or contain spaces. When a

1 text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the
2 underlying ESI, *i.e.*, the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where
3 applicable, the revision history.

4 d. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and
5 any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original
6 document.

7 4. De-duplication. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across
8 custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party, and the
9 duplicate custodian information removed during the de-duplication process tracked in a
10 duplicate/other custodian field in the database load file.

11 5. Email Threading. The parties may use analytics technology to identify email
12 threads and need only produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members and
13 may exclude lesser inclusive copies. Upon reasonable request, the producing party will produce
14 a less inclusive copy.

15 6. Metadata fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that
16 only the following metadata fields need be produced, and only to the extent it is reasonably
17 accessible and non-privileged: document type; custodian and duplicate custodians (or storage
18 location if no custodian); author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; email subject; file
19 name; file size; file extension; original file path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or
20 received; and hash value. The list of metadata type is intended to be flexible and may be
21 changed by agreement of the parties, particularly in light of advances and changes in
22 technology, vendor, and business practices.

D. Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control.

2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections (D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2)).

3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of ESI need not be preserved:

- a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
- b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
- c. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and the like.
- d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
- e. Back-up data that are duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.
- f. Server, system or network logs.
- g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the

systems in use.

- h. Electronic data (*e.g.*, email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from mobile devices (*e.g.*, iPhone, iPad, Android devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is automatically saved in real time elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or “cloud” storage).

E. Privilege

1. A producing party shall create a privilege log of all documents fully withheld from production on the basis of a privilege or protection, unless otherwise agreed or excepted by this Agreement and Order. Privilege logs shall include a unique identification number for each document and the basis for the claim (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For ESI, the privilege log may be generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc names; the subject matter or title; and date created. Should the available metadata provide insufficient information for the purpose of evaluating the privilege claim asserted, the producing party shall include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties no later than 60 days after delivering a production unless an earlier deadline is agreed to by the parties.

2. Redactions need not be logged so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the redacted document.

3. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

4. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents in this proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state

proceeding, constitute a waiver by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection recognized by law. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not constitute a waiver of such protection.

Dated this 25th day of March, 2021.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

FALLON MCKINLEY PLLC
Attorneys for Defendants

By s/ Jaime Drozd Allen

Jaime Drozd Allen, WSBA #35742
Jennifer K. Chung, WSBA #51583
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98104-1610
Telephone: (206) 757-8039
Fax: (206) 757-7039
Email: jaimeallen@dwt.com
Email: jenniferchung@dwt.com

By s/ Dawna J. Campbell (per email)

Dawna J. Campbell, WSBA # 27335
Eden Esther Goldman, WSBA # 54131
155 NE 100th Street, Suite 401
Seattle, WA 98125
Telephone: (206) 682-7580
Fax: (206) 682-3437
Email: dcampbell@fallonmckinley.com
Email: egoldman@fallonmckinley.com

INSLEE BEST DOEZIE & RYDER
Attorneys for Defendants

By s/ Mark S. Leen (per email)

Mark S. Leen, WSBA # 35934
Christopher W. Pirnke, WSBA # 44378
10900 NE 4th Street
Skyline Tower, Suite 1500
Bellevue, WA 98004
Telephone: (425) 455-1234
Fax: (425) 635-7720
Email: mleen@insleebest.com
Email: cpirnke@insleebest.com

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 26, 2021



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE